

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARMANDO ANTONIO MARROQUIN,

No. C 09-00713 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION
FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

v.

M. D. BOWMAN, et al.,

Defendants.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's second request for appointment of counsel.

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 The Court is still unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which
2 would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a pro bono appointment. It is premature for the
3 Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the merits. Accordingly, Plaintiff's second
4 request for appointment of counsel is DENIED. This does not mean, however, that the Court will
5 not consider appointment of counsel at a later juncture in the proceedings; that is, after Defendants
6 have filed their dispositive motion such that the Court will be in a better position to consider the
7 procedural and substantive matters at issue. Therefore, Plaintiff may file a renewed motion for the
8 appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive motion has been filed. If the Court decides that
9 appointment of counsel is warranted at that time, then it can seek volunteer counsel to represent
10 Plaintiff pro bono.

11 This Order terminates Docket no. 10.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 DATED: 6/24/11


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 ARMANDO ANTONIO MARROQUIN,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 MD BOWMAN et al,

8 Defendant.
_____ /

Case Number: CV09-00713 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9
10 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District
Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on June 28, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
located in the Clerk's office.

14
15 Armando Antonio Marroquin T-86698
16 La Palma Correctional Center, MA
5501 N. La Palma Road
17 Eloy, AZ 85131

18 Dated: June 28, 2011

19 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk